

STEVEN M. LEVITAN (SBN 148716)
JENNIFER M. LANTZ (SBN 202252)
MacPHERSON KWOK CHEN & HEID LLP
2033 Gateway Place, Suite 400
San Jose, California 95110
Phone: (408) 392-9250
Facsimile: (408) 392-9262
Email: slevitan@macpherson-kwok.com
Email: jlantz@macpherson-kwok.com

Attorneys for Defendant
BAD CAT AMPLIFIER CO., INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

MESA/BOOGIE, LIMITED., a California
Corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

BAD CAT AMPLIFIER CO., INC., a
California Corporation,

Defendant.

Case No. C-08-0209-JF

**[PROPOSED] ORDER AND JOINT
STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF
TIME FOR BAD CAT AMPLIFIER CO.,
INC. TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE
RESPOND TO MESA/BOOGIE,
LIMITED'S COMPLAINT FOR
PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Pursuant to Northern District of California Civil Local Rule 6-1, the parties in the above-captioned action hereby stipulate through their respective counsel that Defendant BAD CAT AMPLIFIER COMPANY shall have up to and including March 5, 2008 to respond to the Complaint in the above-captioned action. This constitutes an extension of 30 days from the original response date of February 4, 2008. There have been no previous extensions of the time to respond. This extension will not alter an event or deadline already set by the Court.

///

///

///

Dated: February 4, 2008

MACPHERSON KWOK CHEN & HEID LLP

WHITE & CASE LLP

By /s/
Jennifer M. Lantz
Attorneys for Defendant
BAD CAT AMPLIFIER CO., INC.

By /s/
Craig W. Clark
Attorneys for Plaintiff
MESA/BOOGIE, LIMITED

I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of the above stipulation has been obtained from Craig W. Clark, counsel for Mesa/Boogie, Limited.

Dated: February 4, 2008

By _____ /s/
Jennifer M. Lantz
Attorneys for Defendant
BAD CAT AMPLIFIER CO., INC.

SO ORDERED, this ____ day of _____, 2008.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED: February 4, 2008

MACPHERSON KWOK CHEN & HEID LLP

By Jennifer M. Lantz /s/
Attorneys for Defendant BAD CAT
AMPLIFIER CO., INC.